



**BH Debate Ballot SOLO FORMAT** Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Affirmative: \_\_\_\_\_ 1AC 8m, CX, 1NC 8m, CX, 2AC 5m, CX, 2NC 5m, CX

Negative: \_\_\_\_\_ 1NR 5 m, 1AR 5m, (5min Prep each)

**Decision: In my opinion this debate was won by (circle one) Affirmative or Negative**

*Tally of Stock Issues.* Award Stock Issues according to the “Harm Threads” (Thread number will vary with each AFF case.) Circle each Stock Issue awarded to the Affirmative, cross out each Stock Issue “refuted” or “taken” by the Negative. The Affirmative must hold all 4 stock issues in one thread to win *that* thread, otherwise the Negative “takes” the thread. The AFF must win at least one thread to win round; otherwise, the NEG wins round.

					Circle which team won each thread
Harm 1 thread	Topicality	Significance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG
Harm 2 thread	Topicality	Significance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG
Harm 3 thread	Topicality	Significance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG
Harm 4 thread	Topicality	Significance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG

Additional Comments:

**Speaker Points** (Please rate each person as an individual speaker):

Affirmative (AFF)		Negative (NEG)
1 2 3 4 5	5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Satisfactory 2 Weak 1 Poor	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	Communication Skills	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	Organization	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	Argumentation	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	Evidence use and quality	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	Cross-Exam effectiveness	1 2 3 4 5
	<b>Total Speaker Points</b>	

Ethics Issues:

Judge Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Check \_\_\_\_\_